This article was downloaded by: On: *25 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



### Liquid Crystals

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713926090

Thermoplastic polymer-dispersed liquid crystals prepared from solventinduced phase separation with predictions using solubility parameters Lu Guang Chen<sup>a</sup>; Robert Shanks<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Applied Sciences, RMIT University, Melbourne, 3001, Australia

**To cite this Article** Chen, Lu Guang and Shanks, Robert(2007) 'Thermoplastic polymer-dispersed liquid crystals prepared from solvent-induced phase separation with predictions using solubility parameters', Liquid Crystals, 34: 12, 1349 — 1356 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/02678290701663928 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02678290701663928

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doese should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

# Thermoplastic polymer-dispersed liquid crystals prepared from solvent-induced phase separation with predictions using solubility parameters

LU GUANG CHEN and ROBERT SHANKS Applied Sciences, RMIT University, GPO Box 2476V, Melbourne, 3001, Australia

(Received 20 December 2006; accepted 17 May 2007)

The optical effects of liquid crystals can be realized when the mesogens are dispersed in a supporting and stabilizing polymer phase. Thermoplastics were chosen for their structural reversibility and ease of fabrication of polymer-dispersed liquid crystals (PDLCs) from solution via solvent-induced phase separation (SIPS). The component match and tuning in PDLCs was achieved in a common solvent through predictions of solubility parameters. The PDLCs were first prepared using SIPS and were then exposed to thermal treatments on a hot stage polarizing microscope or in a differential scanning calorimeter. At elevated temperatures the polymer and mesogen may become miscible, while upon cooling thermally induced phase separation (TIPS) should occur, preferably above the isotropic-nematic transition temperature. The nematic phase existed within disperse phase droplets that were stabilized and supported by the matrix polymer. The temperature range of the nematic phase was extended in the PDLC configuration. The droplet size was important for liquid crystalline optical behaviour. Polymer–mesogen interactions, identified through solubility parameters, were important in ensuring sufficient but not coarse phase separation.

#### 1 Introduction

Polymer-dispersed liquid crystals (PDLCs) are a relatively new class of materials that hold promise for many applications ranging from switchable windows to projection displays [1–7]. This is because they offer a number of potential advantages over the well-established nematic devices (twisted and super-twisted) as well as over a number of other competitors (e.g. ferroelectric or emissive). PDLCs require no polarizers that absorb more than half of the transmitted light. As a result, they efficiently increase brightness, minimize heat-control problems and reduce the power and weight. Furthermore, PDLCs require no alignment layers or stringent thickness control, which would greatly simplify the processing of larger-size displays. There have been several approaches used to prepare PDLCs, including polymerization-induced phase separation (PIPS), thermally induced phase separation (TIPS) and solvent-induced phase separation (SIPS) [1, 2, 6, 8, 9]. The formation of PDLCs is closely associated with phases, phase separation or phase transformation. A fundamental understanding of phase-related issues is essentially the first step for designing and tailoring the structures of PDLCs in order to gain electro-optical properties or optimization of device performance [1, 10, 11].

In the SIPS process, solvents can be used to solubilize a liquid crystal (LC) and a thermoplastic polymer in order to create a single phase. As the solvent is evaporated, the LC phase separates as droplets in a polymer matrix. The droplet size can be tuned by controlling the rate of solvent evaporation. However, in practice it is not easy to gain the desired droplet size using SIPS. As the polymers used in SIPS processes are thermoplastic in most cases, it is possible to reset the droplet size of a SIPS film by a subsequent TIPS process. Usually, the PDLC film is heated to a temperature at which the LC molecules are dissolved throughout the polymer matrix. The LC phase can separate from the polymer matrix upon cooling. The LC droplet size would be better controlled by the cooling rate of TIPS. As can be seen, two steps are involved in the preparation of PDLCs with a controlled LC droplet size. Alternatively, the LC droplet size could be controlled using a one-step approach, where the desired LC droplets with fine sizes form directly from phase separation of an initial uniform LC-polymer solution.

Apparently, the thermodynamics of mixing for two kinds of components plays an important role in the understanding of phases and phase separation occurring in the preparation of PDLCs. The free energy of mixing has a form involving a combinatorial entropy and an energy of mixing [12]. The key quantity is an interaction parameter between LCs and the polymer that, in particular, would be a starting point in selecting a suitable polymer for a required mesogen [13, 14]. The solubility parameter ( $\delta$ ), proposed by Hildebrand (see [15, 16]), provides an objective and quantitative predictor of PDLC combinations. This means that the solubility of a given mesogen in a given polymer is favoured if the solubility parameters of polymer and mesogen are equal. The solubility parameter is defined as the square root of cohesive energy density ( $E_{coh}$ ):

$$\delta = (E_{\rm coh})^{1/2} = (\Delta E_{\rm V}/V)^{1/2} \quad \left({\rm J}^{1/2} \cdot {\rm cm}^{-3/2}\right) \qquad (1)$$

$$\Delta E_{\rm V} = \Delta U_{\rm vap} = \Delta H_{\rm vap} - RT \quad (\rm J \cdot mol^{-1}). \qquad (2)$$

Where,  $\Delta E_V$  is the latent energy of vaporization, V is the molar volume of the liquid involved,  $\Delta U_{vap}$  is the latent heat of vaporization, R is the universal gas constant and T is temperature (in Kelvin). There are two main methods to calculate solubility parameters: the method of Hoftyzer and Van Krevelen, and the method of Hoy. Both of these methods are used for comparison in this paper. The results of the two methods in estimating the solubility parameter and its components are of the same order in accuracy (10%) [16]. The most reliable method for estimation is to apply both methods, and use an average result.

The aim of this research is to apply solubility parameters to the choice of materials to make PDLC films with controlled phase separation, suitable dispersed phase droplet size and stable phase structure. The PDLCs are characterized using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and hot-stage polarized optical microscopy (POM) to study the thermal and optical properties of PDLC films. The melting endotherm was expected to be sufficiently isolated from the other thermal transitions to extend the method described by Smith and co-workers [17–20] to an analysis of the melting transition.

#### 2 Experimental details

#### 2.1 Materials

All chemicals used in the present work were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich, Australia. The three mesogens were 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid, 4,4'-azoxyanisole and trans-4-methoxycinnamic acid. Their structures are presented in figure 1. The purity of the materials was 98%. The polymers were poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA;  $M_w$ =20,000 g mol<sup>-1</sup>), poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA;  $M_w$ =996,000 g mol<sup>-1</sup>), poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC;  $M_w$ =43,000 g mol<sup>-1</sup>). Chloroform (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were used without further purification.

#### 2.2 Preparation of the PDLC films

The PDLC films were prepared following a combination of SIPS and TIPS. In general, a selected polymer and a mesogen were dissolved in a common solvent at room temperature. Each solution was stirred for about



Figure 1. Structures of the mesogens.

5 min. A small quantity was then cast on a carefully cleaned glass substrate. The PDLC films were formed by a slow evaporation of the solvent (over 24 h). The films were then dried under vacuum, which allows complete evaporation of the solvent. A glass cover was placed over the PDLC film and the dry film was pressed.

#### 2.3 Measurements

DSC measurements were performed using a Perkin-Elmer Pyris 1 instrument. The sensor was purged with nitrogen at a rate of  $20 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$ . The PDLCs were first heated at a rate of  $40^{\circ}\text{C}\text{min}^{-1}$ , then cooled and subsequently heated at a rate of  $5^{\circ}\text{C}\text{min}^{-1}$ , to allow phase separation and the formation of ordered liquid crystalline regions, from room temperature to  $10^{\circ}\text{C}$ above the transition temperature leading to the isotropic phase. The PDLCs were held for 3 min in both the isotropic and the crystalline states. Transition temperatures were recorded systematically during the cooling program and the second heating program.

A Nikon Labophot 2 optical microscope equipped with a Mettler FP-82 hot stage and Mettler FP90 temperature programmer was used to explore the thermal transitions and morphologies. PDLC films were first heated at a rate of  $10^{\circ}$ Cmin<sup>-1</sup> to  $140^{\circ}$ C (for 4,4'-azoxyanisole dispersion) or higher (150°C for 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid dispersion; 200°C for trans-4methoxycinnamic acid dispersion) depending on the different components, to ensure that a homogenous isotropic state has been reached. PDLC films were cooled from above isotropic temperature to room temperature at a cooling rate of 2°Cmin<sup>-1</sup>. Texture images were captured during the cooling process.

#### 3. Results and discussion

The solubility parameters of the materials were calculated by the method of Hoftyzer and Van Krevelen and the method of Hoy; moreover, the final results were determined by taking the average value of these two methods because the results of the two algorithmic methods for estimation of the solubility parameter and its components are of the same order of accuracy (10%) [16]. The results for mesogens, polymers and solvents are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Suitable polymers and solvents were chosen for the required LCs, depending on the smallest difference in solubility parameter. LC–polymer pairs with small solubility parameter difference are expected to be miscible before solvent evaporation and immiscible after solvent evaporation and temperature decrease to form a PDLC film.

When the concentrations of LCs were lower in the PDLCs, no discrete domains were observed in the PDLCs with the decrease of temperature, because LCs were completely miscible in the polymer matrix; therefore, no phase separation occurred. Figure 2(a) shows that some tinv batonnets could be observed and no droplets were formed at 108°C in the 25 wt% 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid dispersed in PHEMA. As the LC concentration increases, the density and size of the domains increases. However, when the LC concentration was greater than 70 wt% and two-phase mixture was continuous with LC phase and polymer phase, respectively, the LC phase became continuous instead of forming spherical droplets. Small polymer beads that have aggregated wind through the LC phase creating a co-continuous two-phase morphology, which is presented in figure 2(b). Large individual pockets of 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid existed in the 75 wt% PDLC in the nematic phase and the dark area corresponds to the polymer matrix. The PDLCs with about 50 wt% LCs were synthesized in this project in order to create suitable LC droplets morphologies.

Figure 3 shows the thermograph for PHEMA dispersed in 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid prepared in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solvent. The concentration of mesogen was 54 wt%. The pure 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid showed two mesophases, smectic (S) and nematic (N), in different temperature ranges. When this PDLC was cooled from the isotropic (I) phase, the I–N peak appeared at about 133°C. As can be seen from figure 3(a), the Schilieren texture of the N phase appeared and covered the whole field of view. This texture persisted until the temperature reached 119°C at which point the N phase changed to

Table 1. The solubility parameters of the mesogens  $(J^{1/2} \text{ cm}^{-3/2})$ .

Hoftyzer and Van Krevelen				Ноу			
$\delta_{\mathrm{t}}$	$\delta_{\mathrm{d}}$	$\delta_{ m p}$	$\delta_{ m h}$	$\delta_{\mathrm{t}}$	$\delta_{ m d}$	$\delta_{ m p}$	$\delta_{ m h}$
19.5 23.2	18.1 20.3	2.2 5.0	7.0 10.1	19.3 19.9	15.0 13.7	7.1 7.0	9.8 12.6
	$\delta_t$ 19.5 23.2 22.4	$\delta_t$ $\delta_d$ 19.5         18.1           23.2         20.3           22.4         20.7	Hoftyzer and Van Krevele $\delta_t$ $\delta_d$ $\delta_p$ 19.5         18.1         2.2           23.2         20.3         5.0           22.4         20.7         4.4	Hoftyzer and Van Krevelen $\delta_t$ $\delta_d$ $\delta_p$ $\delta_h$ 19.5         18.1         2.2         7.0           23.2         20.3         5.0         10.1           22.4         20.7         4.4         0.0	Hoftyzer and Van Krevelen $\delta_t$ $\delta_d$ $\delta_p$ $\delta_h$ $\delta_t$ 19.5         18.1         2.2         7.0         19.3           23.2         20.3         5.0         10.1         19.9           23.4         20.7         4.4         0.0         22.8	Hoftyzer and Van Krevelen         H $\delta_t$ $\delta_d$ $\delta_p$ $\delta_h$ $\delta_d$ 19.5         18.1         2.2         7.0         19.3         15.0           23.2         20.3         5.0         10.1         19.9         13.7           23.4         20.7         4.4         0.0         22.8         13.2	Hoftyzer and Van Krevelen         Hoy $\delta_t$ $\delta_d$ $\delta_p$ $\delta_h$ $\delta_t$ $\delta_d$ $\delta_p$ 19.5         18.1         2.2         7.0         19.3         15.0         7.1           23.2         20.3         5.0         10.1         19.9         13.7         7.0           23.4         20.7         4.4         0.0         22.8         12.3         11.0

Mesogen/polymer/solvent	$\delta_t$	$\delta_d$	$\delta_p$	$\delta_h$
4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid	19.4 (0.1)	16.6 (0.2)	4.7 (3.6)	8.4 (2.0)
4,4'-azoxyanisole	21.6 (0.9)	17.0 (2.2)	6.0 (3.2)	11.4 (4.2)
Trans-4-methoxycinnamic acid	23.1 (0)	17.0 (1.8)	8.2 (2.2)	12.0 (3.4)
PHEMA	19.5	16.4	8.3	6.4
PVC	22.5	19.2	9.2	7.2
PMMA	23.1	18.8	10.2	8.6
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	18.9	17.8	3.1	5.7
THF	19.5	16.8	5.7	8.0
DMF	24.9	17.4	13.7	11.3

Table 2. Average solubility parameter for mesogens, polymers and solvents  $(J^{1/2} \text{ cm}^{-3/2})$ .

The data in parentheses shows the difference of parameter in absolute value between the mesogen and its matching polymer.

Table 3. Thermal properties of materials, the LC solubility limit, A, and the fraction of liquid crystals,  $\alpha$ , separated from the polymer matrix in the PDLCs.

Materials		$T_{\rm m}$ (°C)	$T_{\rm NI}$ (°C)	$\Delta H_{\rm NI} \ ({\rm J g}^{-1})$	А	α
4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid	Pure LC PDLC	96.3 96.1	141.2 133.6	5.08 0.98	43	0.36
4,4'-azoxyanisole	Pure LC PDLC	119.1 117.9	133.7	1.99	42	0.28
Trans-4-methoxycinnamic acid	Pure LC PDLC	172.8 173.0	188.5 188.3	11.76 1.81	49	0.27

an S phase. A different texture from the N phase was observed at 108°C, as shown in figure 3(b). The S phase appeared in a lower temperature range than the N phase, which is attributed to the more ordered molecules of the S phase, because a more ordered mesophase lies closer to the crystalline phase. As shown in figure 4(a), the molecular axes of the N molecules are aligned parallel to a preferred direction and the centres of gravity are distributed at random as in an ordinary liquid. All smectic LCs have a layered structure as shown in figure 4(b). The centres of gravity of the elongated molecules are arranged in equidistant planes and the long axes of the S molecules are parallel to a preferred direction that may be normal to the planes  $(S_A)$  or tilted by an angle  $(S_C)$ . The PDLC presents two different crystalline structures that are confirmed by both the DSC curves and the morphologies observed by POM below the melting temperature  $(T_m=96^\circ C)$ . The change between the two different crystalline structures occurred at about 85°C (figures 3(c) and (d)).

Figure 5 presents the thermal and optical properties of PVC dispersed 4,4'-azoxyanisole prepared in the THF solvent. The concentration of LCs is about 50 wt%. The droplets only show the N phase between  $129^{\circ}$ C and  $120^{\circ}$ C. The evolution of droplets generated by cooling is discussed later. The micrograph in

Figure 2. (a) The morphology of 25 wt% 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid dispersed in PHEMA at  $108^{\circ}$ C. (b) The two-phase morphology of 75 wt% 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid PDLC at  $125^{\circ}$ C.



Figure 3. DSC thermogram and POM micrographs of PHEMA dispersed 4-(decyloxy) benzoic acid during cooling: (a) 126°C; (b) 108°C; (c) 91°C; and (d) 70°C.

figure 5(a) was taken at  $122^{\circ}$ C, showing the N droplets. After continuing cooling, the fan-shaped focal conic texture of the liquid-crystalline phase, shown in figure 4(b), was observed.

Figure 6 presents the DSC curve and the textures of PMMA dispersed trans-4-methoxycinnamic acid prepared in DMF solvent. The concentration of mesogen is 57 wt%. The N phase of droplets was observed in the temperature range from  $175^{\circ}$ C to  $190^{\circ}$ C, as shown in figure 6(a). The needle-like crystalline phase (figure 6(b)) appeared below  $170^{\circ}$ C. The appearance of the first generation of tiny droplets of 4,4'-azoxyanisole was observed at  $130.1^{\circ}$ C when cooling from the isotropic phase. At  $129.8^{\circ}$ C (figure 7(a)), the initial droplets had significantly grown and a new generation of smaller particles was observed (the dark region corresponds to PVC and the isotropic 4,4'-azoxyanisole



Figure 4. The structures of the N and S phases.

phase). At 129.5°C (figure 7(b)), an agglomeration of the large droplets of nematic domains was observed (initiation of phase inversion). Older particles continued their growth and new particles appeared. When the temperature reached 129.1°C, the coalescence of the oldest droplets had significantly advanced (figure 7(c)). At 128.6 °C (figure 7(d)), phase inversion was evidenced by the appearance of a continuous nematic phase leaving dispersed domains of the isotropic solution. As the temperature decreased further (figures 7(e) and (f)), new generations of nematic particles appeared, covering the whole sample.

Smith and co-workers [17–20, 22–24] have shown that the LC solubility limit, A, in the polymer matrix may be related to the ratio of the nematic isotropic enthalpy of the matrix,  $\Delta H_{\rm NI}(X)$ , to that of the pure LC,  $\Delta H_{\rm NI}$ (LC), using

$$\frac{\Delta H_{\rm NI}(X)}{\Delta H_{\rm NI}(LC)} = \frac{X - A}{1 - A} \tag{22}$$

where X is the weight fraction liquid crystal in the sample. So the fraction  $\alpha$  of LCs contained within the droplets is given by (as opposed to LCs dissolved in the polymer):

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{(X-A)}{X(1-A)} & X \ge A \end{cases}$$
(23)

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & X < A
\end{array}\right)$$
(24)

Three assumptions are made in the derivation of these equations: (i) the LC dissolved in the polymer matrix did not contribute to the nematic–isotropic enthalpy



Figure 5. DSC thermogram and POM micrograph of PVC dispersed 4,4'-azoxyanisole during cooling: (a) 124°C; and (b) 108°C.

and the phase-separated LC was contained wholly within droplets; (ii) the amount of LC a given mass of the polymer matrix can dissolved is constant for  $X \ge A$ ; (iii) the presence of polymer in the LC droplets did not affect  $\Delta H_{\rm NI}$  (see [19]). As shown in Table 3, for the polymer–LC systems, the clearing temperature showed only a weak dependence on composition.

Note that the values of A determined from the data shown in Table 3 were slightly higher than the value

reported in the literature [25]. However, these values are much higher than the typical values ranging from 10 to 20 measured for a thermally cured system [18, 19]. Table 3 shows the dependence of  $\alpha$  on composition. The maximum value of  $\alpha$  was 0.36 and observed for the 54 wt% 4-(decyloxy)benzoic acid mixture. The  $\alpha$  values of 4,4'-azoxyanisole dispersion and trans-4-methoxycinnamic acid dispersion were 0.28 and 0.27, respectively. The smallest difference in polar solubility



Figure 6. DSC thermogram and POM micrograph of PMMA dispersed trans-4-methoxycinnamic acid during cooling: (a) 180°C; and (b) 157°C.



(a)

(b)



(c)

(d)



(e)

(f)

Figure 7. Evolution of morphologies generated by cooling PVC dispersed 4,4'-azoxyanisole with 50 wt% 4,4'-azoyxanisole at  $2^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>, observed by POM: (a) 129.8°C; (b) 129.5°C; (c) 129.1°C; (d) 128.6°C; (e) 127.9°C; (f) 127.5°C.

component between the trans-4-methoxycinnamic acid and the PMMA caused the highest solubility limit (49) in the PMMA matrix and the smallest LC fraction.

The solubility parameter was used to match the polymers and the given LCs and to choose the suitable solvents to dissolve the LC-polymer compositions to create a single phase. The other phase transition enthalpies,  $\Delta H_{\rm CN}$  or  $\Delta H_{\rm SN}$ , had been expected to be used to determine more reliable estimates of A and  $\alpha$ . This expectation was not realized, however, because the endotherms, particularly for lower concentration LC mixtures for which complete miscibility between the polymer matrix and LC was observed, were not sufficiently isolated from other endotherms to allow  $\Delta H_{\rm CN}$  or  $\Delta H_{\rm SN}$  to be determined accurately.

Both the melting temperature and the clearing temperature of the PDLC systems were slightly lower than that of pure LCs owing to the dispersion of microdroplets and the effects of the polymers.

#### 4. Conclusions

The difference in solubility parameter between the mesogen and polymer has been a useful parameter for predicting good dispersion. It provides a convenient approach to obtain the most uniform LC dispersion in a polymer matrix. Improving LC dispersion by selecting different polymers and solvents has been proposed for use in the design of PDLC films and controlling the morphology of mesogen droplets in PDLCs. The degree of phase separation in different PDLC systems using the melting, S-N phase transition and clearing enthalpies associated with the LC component has been investigated. Unfortunately, the melting enthalpy was not suited to this task because complex sequences of solid transitions prevented its accurate determination. Different mesophases are expected to be obtained in PDLC films when LCs exhibit different mesophases between the melting temperature and isotropization temperature.

The total solubility parameter has been considered when matching the polymer–LC solvent pair. However,

the polar component of the solubility parameter is most important in predicting the solubility limit in a polymer matrix and the LC fraction in the droplets, while the other components, dispersive and hydrogen bonding, were not critical.

#### References

- [1] M. Mucha. Progr. Polymer Sci., 28, 837-873 (2003).
- [2] F. Bloisi, L. Vicari, Polymer-dispersed liquid crystals. In Optical Applications of Liquid Crystals, pp. 148–200, Taylor & Francis, London.
- [3] S.J. Klosowicz, J. Zielinski. Proc. SPIE, 3318, 364–370 (1998).
- [4] C.M. Lampert. Mater. Today, 7, 28–35 (2004).
- [5] D. Pavel, et al. Memoriile Sectiilor Stiintifice—Academia Romana, 22, 177–193 (2002).
- [6] D. Coates. Rapra Review Reports, 10, i, 1–35–157159 (2000).
- [7] K. Kato, et al. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., 32, 4594-4599 (1993).
- [8] X.-H. Fan, X.-F. Xie, H. Yasuo. Gaofenzi Cailiao Kexue Yu Gongcheng, 18, 169–171 (2002).
- [9] N.-H. Park, et al. J. Appl. Polymer Sci., 77, 3178–3188 (2000).
- [10] A.J. Lovinger, K.R. Amundson, D.D. Davis. Chem. Mater., 6, 1726–1736 (1994).
- [11] K. Amundson, A. Van Blaaderen, P. Wiltzius. *Phys. Rev.* E, 55, 1646–1654 (1997).
- [12] J. Borrajo, et al. Polymer, 39, 845-853 (1998).
- [13] J. Liu, T. Liu, S. Kumar. Polymer, 46, 3419-3424 (2005).
- [14] J.A. Jung, B.K. Kim. Optic. Comm., 247, 125–132 (2005).
- [15] C.M. Hansen. Progr. Org. Coating., 51, 77-84 (2004).
- [16] D.W. Van Krevelen. Cohesive properties and solubility. In *Properties of Polymers*, D.W. Van Krevelen (Ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam. pp. 189–226 (1997).
- [17] G.W. Smith. Phys. Rev. Lett., 70, 198–201 (1993).
- [18] G.W. Smith. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B, 7, 4187-4213 (1993).
- [19] G.W. Smith, G.M. Ventouris, J.L. West. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Sci. Tech. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 213, 11–30 (1992).
- [20] N.A. Vaz, G.W. Smith, G.P. Montgomery Jr. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 197, 83–101 (1991).
- [21] C.M. Hansen. Progr. Org. Coating., 51, 109-112 (2004).
- [22] G.W. Smith, N.A. Vaz. Liq. Cryst., 3, 543-571 (1998).
- [23] G.W. Smith. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 180B, 201–222 (1990).
- [24] G.W. Smith. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 196, 89-102 (1991).
- [25] G.M. Russell, et al. Chem. Mater., 7, 2185-2189 (1995).